

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,722	02/11/2002	Christophe F. Pomarede	ASMEX.320 A	6768
20995	5 7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			POMPEY, RON EVERETT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	
		DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/074,722	POMAREDE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ron E Pompey	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	ily 2004.				
· _ · · —	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 -9, 13-20, 22-27 and 29-47 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 -9,13-20, 22-27 and 29-47 is/are ref 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)	_				
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate.			
2) ☐ Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/074,722 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 –9, 13-20, 22-27 and 29-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murthy et al. (US 6,373,112) in further view of Nakabayashi et al. (US 6,319782) and Shiota et al. (US 5,879,970).

Murthy discloses the limitations of claims 1-47:

depositing a silicon-containing seed layer (106, fig. 2) over the high dielectric constant material (104, fig. 2) under seed phase conditions (col. 2, Ins. 29-38); and depositing a silicon-containing bulk layer (108, fig. 2) over the seed layer under bulk phase conditions, the bulk phase conditions selected to result in a higher deposition rate than the seed phase conditions (col. 4, In. 41 – col., In. 9).

3. Murthy discloses the claimed limitations, as described above, except the limitations disclosed below by Nakabayashi and Shiota:

Nakabayashi discloses:

using a non-hydrogen carrier gas; and

wherein the seed phase is less than 500 Å/min and the deposition rate for of the bulk phase is greater than 500 Å/min (col. 10, ln. 44 – col. 11, ln. 31);

Shiota discloses:

Art Unit: 2812

using a non-hydrogen carrier gas; and

wherein trisilane is used as a silane gas(col. 1, Ins. 20-40);

Therefore one of ordinary skill would have combined the limitations disclosed in Nakabayashi and Shiota with Murthy, because the non-hydrogen carrier gases can remove/reduce unwanted oxides and that it is conventional to form silicon or silicon germanium layers whether with hydrogen or non-hydrogen carrier gases. Also, Murthy does not disclose the entire group of different high dielectric materials as claimed. However, Murthy does disclose that "other high dielectric materials....such as for example Hafnium oxides..." can be used as materials over the substrate, and because the applicants' specification does not disclose a distinctive difference between the materials listed in the group of high dielectric materials, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to that the materials in the group of high dielectric materials claimed are encompassed in the high dielectric materials disclosed in Murthy.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7-21-04, pertaining to claims 1 –9, 13-20, 22-27 and 29-47, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant(s) argues that the Murthy, Nakabayashi nor Shiota references disclose using forming a seed layer comprising flowing trisilane. However, Shiota discloses,

"... silane, which is expressed as  $Si_nH_{2n+2}$  where n is an integer between 1 and 3...".

Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would use any one of these three compositions of silane to form a silicon seed layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,722 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron E Pompey whose telephone number is (571) 272-1680.

Ron Pompey

AU: 2812

September 30, 2004

John F. Niebling

Sup rvisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800